- 1		
1	LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP	
2	Shannon L. Hopkins (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Gregory M. Potrepka (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
3	1111 Summer Street, Suite 403 Stamford, CT 06905	
4	Tel: (203) 992-4523 Email: shopkins@zlk.com	
5	Email: gpotrepka@zlk.com	
6	Counsel for Lead Plaintiff the Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc., and James L. Ferraro	
7	ana James L. Ferraro	
8	UNITED STATES DIS	STRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO	DIVISION
11		
12	FERRARO FAMILY FOUNDATION, INC. and JAMES L. FERRARO, on behalf of themselves and	Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD
13	all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
14	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF SHANNON L.
15	V.	HOPKINS IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
	CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED,	PROPOSED CLASS ACTION
16	JOSEPH K. BELANOFF, CHARLES ROBB, and SEAN MADUCK,	SETTLEMENT AND LEAD COUNSEL'S MOTION FOR
17	Defendants.	ATTORNEYS' FEES.
18		REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES AND AWARD OF COSTS AND
19		EXPENSES TO LEAD PLAINTIFF
20		Date: June 6, 2024
21		Time: 10:00 a.m. Room: Courtroom 11, 19th Floor
22		Judge: Honorable James Donato
23		
24		
25		
26		

#### I, Shannon L. Hopkins, declare:

- I am a partner at Levi & Korsinsky, LLP ("Levi & Korsinsky" or "LK"), Court-appointed 1. Lead Counsel for Lead Plaintiff, the Ferraro Group (consisting of Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. and James L. Ferraro) ("Lead Plaintiff") and the proposed class in the above-captioned matter. I submit this declaration in further support of: (1) Lead Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Final Approval Motion") and (2) Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Costs and Expenses to Lead Plaintiff (the "Fee and Expense Application").
- 2. Attached hereto as Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of the Supplemental Declaration of Kathleen Schumacher Regarding Notice Dissemination, Requests for Exclusion Received, and Claims Received to Date.
- 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit 2 is a [Proposed] Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice.
- 4. Attached hereto as Exhibit 3 is a [Proposed] Order Awarding Attorneys' Fees and Expenses and Award to Class Representative Pursuant to §15 U.S.C.78u-4(a)(4).
  - 5. Attached hereto as Exhibit 4 is a [Proposed] Order Approving Plan of Allocation.
  - 6. Attached hereto as Exhibit 5 is a PowerPoint presentation prepared by Lead Counsel.

I declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed at Stamford, Connecticut, on May 30, 2024.

/s/ Shannon L. Hopkins Shannon L. Hopkins

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

27

# Exhibit 1

1	LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP Shannan L. Hanking (admitted nea hage vice)	
2	Shannon L. Hopkins (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) Gregory M. Potrepka (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )	
3	1111 Summer Street, Suite 403 Stamford, CT 06905	
4	Tel: (203) 992-4523 Email: shopkins@zlk.com	
5	Email: stornatore@zlk.com	
	Counsel for Lead Plaintiff the	
6	Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc. and James L. Ferraro	
7	UNITED STATES DI	STRICT COURT
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	SAN FRANCISC	O DIVISION
10		
11	FERRARO FANGLY FOLDING ATION BIG. 1	G N. 10 GW 01450 ID
12	FERRARO FAMILY FOUNDATION, INC, and JAMES L. FERRARO, on behalf of themselves	Case No. 19-CV-01372-JD
13	and all others similarly situated,	CLASS ACTION
	Plaintiffs,	SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF
14	v.	KATHLEEN SCHUMACHER REGARDING NOTICE
15	CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED,	DISSEMINATION, REQUESTS FOR
16	JOSEPH K. BELANOFF, CHARLES ROBB, AND SEAN MADUCK	EXCLUSION RECEIVED, AND CLAIM RECEIVED TO DATE
17	Defendants.	Date: June 6, 2024
18	Defendants.	Time: 10:00 a.m.
19		Room: Courtroom 11, 19 <sup>th</sup> Floor
20		Judge: Honorable James Donato
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

I, KATHLEEN SCHUMACHER, hereby declare under penalty of perjury as follows:

- I am a Project Manager of A.B. Data, Ltd.'s Class Action Administration 1. Company ("A.B. Data"). The following statements are based on my personal knowledge and information provided by other A.B. Data employees working under my supervision, and if called on to do so, I could and would testify competently thereto.
- 2. Pursuant to its Order Re Preliminary Approval of Settlement dated January 4, 2024 (ECF 201, the "Preliminary Approval Order"), the Court approved the retention of A.B. Data as the Claims Administrator for the above-captioned action (the "Action"). I submit this Declaration as a supplement to my earlier declaration, the Declaration of Kathleen Schumacher Regarding Notice Dissemination, Publication, and Requests for Exclusion Received, (the "Initial Mailing Declaration") dated March 14, 2024, which was previously filed with the Court. ECF 204-1.

#### <u>UPDATE ON DISSEMINATION OF THE POSTCARD NOTICE</u>

- 3. As more fully stated in my Initial Mailing Declaration, as of March 14, 2024, A.B. Data had mailed and emailed where possible, a total of 17,385 copies of the Postcard Notice to potential Settlement Class Members.
- 4. Since the execution of the Initial Mailing Declaration, A.B. Data has received 22,226 additional requests to mail the Postcard Notice to potential Settlement Class Members and their nominees. Therefore, as of the date of this Declaration, an aggregate of 39,611 Postcard Notices have been mailed and/or emailed where possible to potential Settlement Class Members and their nominees. In addition, A.B. Data has re-mailed a total of 1,104 Postcard Notices to persons whose original mailings were returned by the U.S. Postal Service ("USPS") and for whom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Unless otherwise defined in this Declaration, all capitalized terms have the meanings set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated April 11, 2023 (the "Stipulation"). ECF 195-3.

Case No. 19-CV-01372-JD

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF KATHLEEN SCHUMACHER REGARDING NOTICE DISSEMINATION, REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION RECEIVED, AND CLAIMS RECEIVED TO DATE

updated addresses were provided to A.B. Data by the USPS. A total of 486 Postcard Notices were returned to A.B. Data as undeliverable as addressed with no forwarding address available.

#### **UPDATE ON SETTLEMENT WEBSITE**

- 5. A.B. Data continues to maintain the website designated for the Action (www.CorceptSecuritiesLitigation.com). The website includes information regarding the Action and the proposed Settlement, including the exclusion, objection, and claim filing deadlines, and the date, time, and location of the Court's Settlement Hearing. Copies of the Notice of Pendency of Class Action and Proposed Settlement, Final Approval Hearing, and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses (the "Long-Form Notice"), Proof of Claim and Release ("the Claim Form"), Stipulation, the Preliminary Approval Order, and other documents related to the Action are posted on the website and are available for downloading. Lead Plaintiff's Unopposed Motion for Final Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement and supporting papers and Lead Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Award of Costs and Expenses to Lead Plaintiff and supporting papers have also been posted to the website.
- 6. In addition, the website includes the ability to file a claim online and a link to a document with detailed instructions for Settlement Class Members submitting their claims electronically. Further, the website has contact information for A.B. Data and Lead Counsel, including a toll-free telephone number, that Settlement Class Members can use to obtain additional information. The website is accessible 24 hours per day, 7 days a week.

#### **UPDATE ON TOLL-FREE TELEPHONE LINE**

7. A.B. Data continues to maintain the case specific, toll-free telephone helpline, 1-877-390-3297, with an interactive voice response system and live operators, to accommodate

Case No. 19-CV-01372-JD

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF KATHLEEN SCHUMACHER REGARDING NOTICE DISSEMINATION, REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION RECEIVED, AND CLAIMS RECEIVED TO DATE

potential Settlement Class Members with questions about the Action. Callers requiring further help have had the option to be transferred to a live operator during business hours. A.B. Data has promptly responded to each telephone inquiry and will continue to respond to potential Settlement Class Members' inquiries. A.B. Data will continue operating and maintaining the toll-free telephone helpline until the conclusion of this administration.

#### <u>UPDATE ON OBJECTIONS AND REQUESTS FOR EXCLUSION</u>

- 8. The Long-Form Notice informed potential Settlement Class Members that requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class are to be mailed to the Claims Administrator postmarked no later than May 13, 2024. The Long-Form Notice also set forth the information that was required to be included in each request for exclusion.
- 9. As previously noted in the Initial Mailing Declaration, A.B. Data had not received any requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class. A.B. Data has since received one (1) exclusion request totaling two (2) shares. Attached hereto as Exhibit A, is the exclusion request received, which was reducted to remove personal information.
- 10. According to the Long-Form Notice, Settlement Class Members seeking to object to the proposed Settlement are required to submit their objection in writing such that the request is received by the Parties and filed with the Court no later than May 13, 2024. Although Settlement Class Members were not required to send objections to A.B. Data, A.B. Data has not received any misdirected objections.

#### **CLAIMS RECEIVED TO DATE**

11. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, Claim Forms are to be submitted no later than May 13, 2024. As of the date of this Declaration, A.B. Data has received a total of 15,672 Claims. Based on A.B. Data's initial review, 4,786 Claims have been deemed valid. The

Case No. 19-CV-01372-JD

number of shares eligible to recover under these provisionally valid Claims is 46,281,370, of which 45,317,397 relate to losses incurred from the January 25, 2019 corrective disclosure. Including shares related to market gains in the total share count of provisionally eligible claims, there are 51,109,114 shares that submitted valid claims, of which 48,385,159 relate to the period ending on January 25, 2019.

- 12. Utilizing an estimated Net Settlement Fund of, \$9,703,838.29, the per share recovery of claimants is \$0.20 per damaged share purchased in the Settlement Class Period.
- 13. During the claims administration process, A.B. Data will review and process all Claims received and will provide Claimants with an opportunity to cure any deficiency or request judicial review of the denial of their Claims. After all Claims have been fully processed, quality assurance reviews performed, and final administrative determinations have been made, A.B. Data will present its administrative report on the Claims received for the Settlement to the Court, along with a proposed plan for distribution, and will ultimately mail or wire Authorized Claimants their *pro rata* share of the Net Settlement Fund, as calculated under the Plan of Allocation.

I declare, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America, that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on May 30, 2024.

Kathleen Schumacher

Kackleen Schumocher

Case No. 19-CV-01372-JD

# **EXHIBIT** A

## Ferraro Family Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Corcept Therapeutics Incorporated, et al. Case No. 3:19-cv-01372-JD

#### **Exclusion Report**

Exclusion Number	Name	Postmarked	Number of Shares
1	Melody Fu	04/05/2024	2

Case 3:19-cv-01372-JD Document 211-1 Filed 05/30/24 Page 9 of 11

## Exclusion 1

Postmarked April 5, 2024

Meladis Fy I., (Melaly Fu) regulest to be excluded from the class Action. I have 2 shares between August 2, 2017 through January 31, 2019. Sincerchy, Melot fre Melody Fu

4/5/2024

Document 211-1 Filed 05/30/24 Page 10 of 1

Case 3:19-cv-01372-JD Document 211-1 Filed 05/30/24 Page 11 of 11 5 APR 2024 PM 7 Claims Administrator: Corcept Therepeutics Inc. Securities Litigation C/O A.B. Data, Ltd. EXCLUSION/S

P.O. Bax 17300 \ Milwaukee, WI 53217

53217-801201 - մահայիոհիրինականիր միկանիր

# Exhibit 2

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FERRARO FAMILY FOUNDATION, INC. and JAMES L. FERRARO, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED, JOSEPH K. BELANOFF, CHARLES ROBB, and SEAN MADUCK,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

#### **CLASS ACTION**

[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Judge: Honorable James Donato

in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated as of April 11, 2023 ("Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class as required in said Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

1. This Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal With Prejudice ("Final Judgment and

Settlement ("Order") dated January 4, 2024, on the motion for final approval of the Settlement set forth

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order Re Preliminary Approval of

- 1. This Final Judgment and Order of Dismissal With Prejudice ("Final Judgment and Order") incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation, unless otherwise set forth herein.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action and over all parties to the Action, including all Settlement Class Members.
- 3. "Settlement Class" shall mean all Persons who purchased or otherwise acquired common stock or options to purchase common stock of Corcept between August 2, 2017 and January 31, 2019, inclusive, and were damaged as a result. Excluded from the Settlement Class are: (a) Defendants; (b) members of Defendants' immediate families; (c) Defendants' subsidiaries and affiliates; (d) any person who is an officer, director or controlling person of Corcept; (e) any entity in which any Defendant has a controlling interest; (f) Defendants' directors' and officers' liability insurance carriers, or any affiliates or subsidiaries thereof; and (g) the legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns of any such excluded party. All persons who submit valid and timely requests for exclusions from the Class will also be excluded. Those persons or entities eligible for membership in the Settlement Class who timely submitted valid requests for exclusion from the Settlement Class are identified on Exhibit 1. Those persons or entities are not bound by this Final Judgment and Order.
- 4. Pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court hereby approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that said Settlement is, in all respects, fair, just

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein are defined in the Stipulation.

16

15

26

24

(d) the record is sufficiently developed and complete to have enabled the Lead Plaintiff and Defendants to have adequately evaluated and considered their positions.

Accordingly, the Court authorizes and directs implementation of all the terms and provisions of the Stipulation, as well as the terms and provisions hereof.

reasonable and adequate to the Settlement Class. The Court finds that certification of the Settlement Class for settlement purposes only is appropriate because:

- (a) The Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable, satisfying the requirements of Rule 23(a)(1);
- (b) There are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, satisfying the requirement of Rule 23(a)(2);
- (c) The claims of Lead Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Settlement Class, satisfying the requirement of Rule 23(a)(3);
- (d) The representative parties will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class, satisfying the requirement of Rule 23(a)(4); and
- (e) Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Settlement Class predominate over questions affecting only individual members and a class action is superior to other methods available for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy, satisfying the requirements of Rule 23(b)(3).

The findings in this Paragraph 4 are for purposes of this Settlement only, and shall have no force or effect for any other purpose or if this Final Judgment and Order does not become final.

- 5. The Court hereby finally approves the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation and finds that:
- (a) said Stipulation is, in all respects, fair, reasonable and adequate and in the best interest of the Settlement Class;
- (c) the Stipulation was the product of informed, arm's-length negotiations among competent, able counsel; and

- 6. The Court hereby dismisses the Complaint, the Action, and all Released Claims with prejudice, without costs as to any Settling Party.
- 7. Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, the Lead Plaintiff shall, and each of the Settlement Class Members shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Plaintiffs' Released Claims against the Defendant Releasees, whether or not such Settlement Class Member executes and delivers the Proof of Claim and Release Form.
- 8. Lead Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any of Plaintiffs' Released Claims against any of the Defendant Releasees.
- 9. Upon the Effective Date of the Settlement, Defendants Releasees shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally and forever released, relinquished and discharged all Defendants' Released Claims against each and all of the Plaintiff Releasees.
- 10. Defendants and Defendant Releasees are hereby forever barred and enjoined from prosecuting any Defendants' Released Claims against any of the Plaintiff Releasees.
- Hearing and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Reimbursement of Litigation Expenses, the Postcard Notice and the published Summary Notice (the "Notice") given to the Settlement Class was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including the individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort. Said Notice provided the best notice practicable under the circumstances of these proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Stipulation, to all Persons entitled to such Notice, and said Notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process as well as the requirements of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, the requirements of the Class Action Fairness Act, 28 U.S.C. §1715, have been satisfied.
- 12. Any Plan of Allocation submitted by Lead Counsel or any order entered regarding any attorneys' fee and reimbursement of expense application or any award to pay the time and expenses of

4

10

8

11 12

14

13

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

27

26

28

Lead Plaintiff to Lead Plaintiff shall in no way disturb or affect the final Judgment and shall be considered separate from this Final Judgment and Order.

- 13. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement contained therein, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (a) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, the validity of any Released Claims, or of any wrongdoing or liability of the Defendants, any Released Person or Settlement Class Member; or (b) is or may be deemed to be or may be used as an admission of, or evidence of, any fault or omission of the Defendants, any of the Released Persons or any Settlement Class Member in any civil, criminal or administrative proceeding in any court, administrative agency or other tribunal. Defendants, Released Persons and/or Settlement Class Members may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment from this action in any other action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of res judicata, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or reduction or any theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 14. Without affecting the finality of this Final Judgment and Order in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, reimbursement of Litigation Expenses or any service award in the Action; and (d) all parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing and administering the Stipulation.
- The Court finds that, during the course of the Action, the Settling Parties and their 15. respective counsel at all times complied with the requirements of Rule 11 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 16. In the event the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, or the Effective Date does not occur, or in the event the Settlement Fund, or any portion thereof, is returned to Defendants, then this Final Judgment and Order shall be rendered null and void to the extent provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation and shall be vacated and, in such event,

#### Case 3:19-cv-01372-JD Document 211-2 Filed 05/30/24 Page 7 of 7

1	all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void to the extent
2	provided by and in accordance with the Stipulation.
3	17. Without further order of the Court, the Settling Parties may agree to reasonable
4	extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the Stipulation.
5	18. There is no reason for delay in the entry of this Final Judgment and Order and immediate
6	entry by the Clerk of the Court is expressly directed pursuant to Rule 54(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil
7	Procedure.
8	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.
0	Dated:, 2024
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
27	
28	
,	Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD
- 1	[PROPOSED] FINAL HIDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREHIDICE

# Exhibit 3

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FERRARO FAMILY FOUNDATION, INC. and JAMES L. FERRARO, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED, JOSEPH K. BELANOFF, CHARLES ROBB, and SEAN MADUCK,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

#### **CLASS ACTION**

[PROPOSED] ORDER AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND EXPENSES AND AWARD TO CLASS REPRESENTATIVE PURSUANT TO 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4)

Judge: Honorable James Donato

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on June 6, 2024, on Lead Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses and Award of Costs and Expenses to Lead Plaintiff (ECF 203) in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings conducted herein and otherwise being fully informed of the matters hereto and good cause appearing therefore;

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated as of April 11, 2023 ("Stipulation"). ECF 195-3.
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this Action and all matters relating hereto, including all members of the Class who have not timely and validly requested exclusion.
- 3. Notice of Lead Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort. The form and method of notifying the Class of the motion for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses met the requirements of Rules 23 and 54 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Section 21D(a)(7) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(7), as amended by the Private Securities Action Reform Act of 1995, due process, and any other applicable law, constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.
- 4. The Court hereby awards Lead Counsel attorneys' fees of \_\_\_\_\_% of the Settlement Fund, plus expenses in the amount of \$\_\_\_\_\_\_. The Court finds that the amount of fees awarded is appropriate, fair, and reasonable under the "percentage-of-recovery" method given the substantial risks of non-recovery, the contingent nature of the representation, awards in similar cases, the time and effort involved, and the result obtained for the Class. *See Vizcaino v. Microsoft Corp.*, 290 F.3d 1043, 1049-50 (9th Cir. 2002).

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein are defined in the Stipulation.

- 5. The awarded attorneys' fees and expenses shall be paid from the Settlement Fund immediately upon entry of this Order, subject to the terms, conditions, and obligations of the Stipulation, the terms, conditions, and obligations of which are incorporated herein.
- 6. In making this award of fees and expenses to Lead Counsel, the Court has considered and found that:
- (a) the Settlement has created a fund of \$14,000,000 in cash that is already on deposit, and numerous Class Members who submit, or have submitted, valid Proof of Claim forms will benefit from the Settlement created by Lead Plaintiff and Lead Counsel;
- (b) over 39,611 copies of the Notice were disseminated to potential Class Members indicating that Lead Counsel would move for attorneys' fees not to exceed 25% of the Settlement Fund and for expenses in an amount not to exceed \$975,000, and no objections to the fees or expenses were filed by Class Members;
- (c) Lead Counsel has pursued the Action and achieved the Settlement with skill, perseverance, and diligent advocacy;
- (d) Lead Counsel has expended substantial time and effort pursuing the Action on behalf of the Class;
- (e) Lead Counsel pursued the Action on a contingent basis, having received no compensation during the Action, and any fee amount has been contingent on the result achieved;
- (f) the Action involves complex factual and legal issues and, in the absence of settlement, would involve lengthy proceedings whose resolution would be uncertain;
- (g) had Lead Counsel not achieved the Settlement, there would remain a significant risk that the Class may have recovered less or nothing from Defendants;
- (h) Lead Counsel devoted over 16,000 hours, with a lodestar value of \$8,538,061.75 at current rates, to achieve the Settlement;
- (i) Lead Plaintiff approved the amount of attorneys' fees awarded as fair and reasonable; and

#### the attorneys' fees and expenses awarded are fair and reasonable and consistent with (j) awards in similar cases within the Ninth Circuit. 7. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78u-4(a)(4), the Court awards Lead Plaintiff a \$ for its time and expenses representing the Class. IT IS SO ORDERED. DATED: \_\_\_\_\_ THE HONORABLE JAMES DONATO UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

Case 3:19-cv-01372-JD Document 211-3 Filed 05/30/24 Page 5 of 5

# Exhibit 4

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

FERRARO FAMILY FOUNDATION, INC. and JAMES L. FERRARO, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

CORCEPT THERAPEUTICS INCORPORATED, JOSEPH K. BELANOFF, CHARLES ROBB, and SEAN MADUCK,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

#### **CLASS ACTION**

#### [PROPOSED] ORDER APPROVING PLAN OF ALLOCATION

Judge: Honorable James Donato

THIS MATTER having come before the Court on Lead Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Proposed Class Action Settlement (ECF 202) in the above-captioned action; the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings herein and otherwise being fully informed of the matters hereto;

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. For purposes of this Order, the terms used herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement, dated April 11, 2023. ECF No. 195-3.
- 2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, this Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to Persons who are Class Members advising them of the Plan of Allocation and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was accorded to such Persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.
- 3. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the formula for the calculation of the claims of Authorized Claimants, which is set forth in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice") sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund provided by the Settlement among eligible Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 4. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the Plan of Allocation, as set forth in the Notice, is, in all respects, fair and reasonable, and the Court hereby approves the Plan of Allocation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:				
		THE HONORAB	LE JAMES DONA	ATO

Case No. 3:19-CV-01372-JD

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

# Exhibit 5

# Ferraro Family Foundation Inc. v. Corcept Therapeutics, Inc., 19-cv-01372-JD

HEARING ON LEAD PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF
THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AND
AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES
AND EXPENSES

June 6, 2024



# MAXIMUM RECOVERABLE DAMAGES LIFO

(in millions)	Survived Motion to Dismiss?	Total Estimated Damages	Settlement	Net Recovery³	% Total Recovery	% Net Recovery	Damaged Shares	Gross Recovery Per Share	Net Recovery Per Share
January 25, 2019 SIRF Report <sup>1</sup>	<b>~</b>	\$105.4	\$14.0	\$9.9	13%	9%	65.5	\$0.21	\$0.15
January 31, 2019 Earnings Report <sup>2</sup>	×	\$161.4	\$14.0	\$9.9	9%	6%	68.4	\$0.20	\$0.14

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Represents damages estimate for only the January 24, 2019 corrective disclosure.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Represents damages estimate with both corrective disclosures included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Represents recovery net of Attorneys' Fees of 25% (\$3,500,000), expenses of \$576,162 and Plaintiff reimbursement of \$15,000.

# MAXIMUM RECOVERABLE DAMAGES FIFO

(in millions)	Survived Motion to Dismiss?	Total Estimated Damages	Settlement	Net Recovery <sup>3</sup>	% Total Recovery	% Net Recovery	Damaged Shares	Recovery Per Share	Net Recovery
January 25, 2019 SIRF Report <sup>1</sup>	<b>~</b>	\$120.3	\$14.0	\$9.5	12%	8%	74.3	\$0.19	\$0.13
January 31, 2019 Earnings Report <sup>2</sup>	X	\$185.2	\$14.0	\$9.5	8%	5%	77.2	\$0.18	\$0.12

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Represents damages estimate for only the January 24, 2019 corrective disclosure.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Represents damages estimate with both corrective disclosures included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Represents recovery net of Attorneys' Fees of 25% (\$3,500,000), expenses of \$576,162 and Plaintiff reimbursement of \$15,000.

# ESTIMATED DAMAGES AFTER DISAGGREGATION

Total Estimated Disaggregated Damages – Jan. 25, 2019 disclosure only (in millions)	Settlement	Net Recovery	% Total Recovery	% Net Recovery
\$22.1m to \$63.5m	\$14.0	\$9.9	22% to 63.3%	16% to 45%

# ESTIMATED CLAIMS RATE LIFO

	Total Estimated Damaged Shares (in millions)	Estimated Damaged Shares Recovering in Settlement (in millions)	% Estimated Damaged Shares Recovering in Settlement	Estimated Institutional Ownership
January 25, 2019	65.5	48.4	74%	60%
January 31, 2019	68.4	51.1	75%	58%

# ESTIMATED CLAIMS RATE FIFO

	Total Estimated Damaged Shares (in millions)	Estimated Damaged Shares Recovering in Settlement (in millions)	% Estimated Damaged Shares Recovering in Settlement	Estimated Institutional Ownership
January 25, 2019	74.3	48.4	65%	65%
January 31, 2019	77.2	51.1	66%	63%

# RISKS OF CONTINUED LITIGATION

**DAMAGES AND LOSS CAUSATION** 

- **FALSITY**
- **SCIENTER**

**CLASS CERTIFICATION CHALLENGES** 

# DAMAGES and LOSS CAUSATION

# The SIRF Report Contained Entirely Public Information and Concerned Potentially Confounding Disclosures Unrelated to Off-Label Marketing

- •The Court previously held the SIRF Report contained entirely public information.
- •Some of the information in the SIRF Report was, arguably, unrelated to off-label marketing (Plaintiff's Br. at 13-14). For example:
  - > "The FDA's risk assessment and risk mitigation review for this study did conclude that Korlym's trial design was flawed without the testing of an approved comparator drug...."
  - ➤ "In March 2015 Corcept withdrew its application for Corluxin (a renamed Korlym)" in Europe, citing 'strategic business reasons' for ending the process."
  - There were "103 deaths reported for Korlym since 2012."



#### FALSITY

#### Statements that Corcept's Marketing Materials Were On-Label Were True

(Plaintiffs' Br. at 14-15).

- While Plaintiff argued Corcept's marketing materials contained implicit offlabel marketing messages by inclusion of case studies using Korlym offlabel, none produced in discovery explicitly instructed Corcept sales personnel to prescribe Korlym off-label
- There is no specified testing regimen for diagnosing Cushing's. It is within the Doctor discretion to decide which tests to run, to decide whether to run multiple tests (and how many), and whether to then prescribe Korlym.
- Evidence to date showed Corcept sent its marketing materials to the FDA, which never objected to them as being off-label.
- Defendants argue Hypercortisolism (used in Corcept's marketing materials) and Cushing's Syndrome are the same.

#### There is No Evidence Defendants Instructed Corcept Employees to Market Korlym Off-Label

(Plaintiffs' Br. at 14-15)

### SCIENTER

- There is no documentary evidence to date that any individual defendant instructed Corcept employees to engage in off-label marketing.
- There is some evidence that Corcept executives instructed employees to keep Korlym marketing to on-label uses.
- There is currently no evidence that any physician complained to any defendant about off-label marketing.

# Whether Corcept Securities Traded In an Efficient Market

(Plaintiffs' Br. at 15)

# CLASS CERTIFICATION CHALLENGES

- The alleged statements were too generic to impact the price of Corcept's stock.
- Few, if any, analysts commented on the alleged false statements or the SIRF Report, undermining materiality, loss causation and price impact.
- Stock price reaction.



## SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL EXPENSE AND DELAY IN PROCEEDING

#### Estimated Costs of Litigation are Significant

(Plaintiffs' Br. at 16)

- Depositions: 58 remaining depositions to take, of which at least 28 would be take in-person.
- Expert Discovery: Multiple experts would be needed, including, for:
  - (i) Cushing's Syndrome
  - (ii) FDA marketing regulations
  - (iii) Market efficiency
  - (iv) Damages and Loss Causation
- Briefing motions to compel, class certification and summary judgment.
- Trial would have been complex and confusing for jurors

